



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,326	11/07/2001	Wolfgang Butz	H 4763 US	H 4763 US 3382	
423	7590 08/25/2003				
HENKEL C	CORPORATION	EXAMINER			
STE 200	SSANCE BLVD	WALCZAK, DAVID J			
GULPH MII	LLS, PA 19406		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3751	5	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				~ ^ ^ /				
		Application N .	Applicant(s)					
		10/008,326	BUTZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		David J. Walczak	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the corresponding address Period for Reply								
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communic  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	lovember 2001						
2a)□		is action is non-final.						
3)□	·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-4}$ is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
_	on Papers							
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)[1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
•	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment			- wild/01 (£1.					
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on 11/7/01. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The I.D.S. filed 11/7/01 is acknowledged. Reference 21 39 123 has been considered. Reference 70 11 620 has not been considered as no explanation of this non-English reference is present in the specification. Further, reference 21 39 023 has not been considered since the specification indicates that this reference discloses a glue stick having a piston with a threaded bore which is driven by a screw spindle, however, submitted reference 21 39 023 does not appear to show such a structure (it appears to show some sort of roller arrangement which guides a series of webs). It seems as though the wrong reference has been submitted. Clarification is needed.

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 8, line 18, "base 6" should be --base 4--, on page 9, line 19, "base 6" should be --base-- 4 and on page 9, line 20, "opening 7" should be --opening 5--. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,326

**Art Unit: 3751** 

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The limitations: the vent opening communicating the underside of the piston with the interior of the receiving element (claim 3) and ventilating groove (claim 4) do not have antecedent basis in the specification (it is further noted that no such groove appears to be shown in the figure).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regard to claim 1, it is unclear at to whether or not the material is intended to be part of the claimed combination (lines 1-2 imply the at the material is not part of the claimed combination while lines 6-7 imply that the material is part of the claimed combination). Should the Applicant intend to claim the material, an antecedent basis for the material should be defined. Should the Applicant not intend to claim the material, --adapted to be-- language should be used when referring thereto, i.e., --adapted to carry the coatable material on a side facing...--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lang et al. (hereinafter Lang). In regard to claim 1, Lang discloses a device for receiving and dispensing a coatable material comprised of sleeve shaped receiving element 20, a piston shaped element having an internal thread (not numbered, see Figure 3) and a rotary grip having a screw spindle for displacing the piston wherein a region of the rotary grip extends through a passage opening in the bottom of the receiving element and is formed complementary to the underside of the piston. In regard to claim 2, viewing Figure 3, it can be seen that the piston includes an cylindrical outer wall and a conical inner wall which is complementary to the region of the grip which extends through the passage.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang in view of Rosenwinkel. Although the Lang device does not include a ventilation bore in the piston, attention is directed to the Rosenwinkel reference, which discloses another dispenser for a solid material wherein the piston includes ventilation bores 293

Application/Control Number: 10/008,326

Art Unit: 3751

therein in order to enable the piston to be effectively vented when being filled.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Page 5

invention was made to include such bores into the Lang piston in order to enable the

device to be effectively filled.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The Lang, Yorks, Idec and Tomburo et al. references are cited

for disclosing other dispensers wherein the piston is shaped complementary to the

rotary grip.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-

0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0861.

David J. Walczak

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751

DJW